Oscillation Criteria for Second Order Nonlinear Neutral Perturbed Dynamic Equations on Time Scales

Xiuping Yu

Department of Mathematics and Physics, Hebei Institute of Architecture and Civil Engineering, Zhangjiakou, China Email: xiuping66@163.com

Hua Du

Information Science and Engineering College, Hebei North University, Zhangjiakou, China Email: dhhappy88@126.com

Hongyu Yang

Department of Mechanic and Engineering, Zhangjiakou Vocational Technology Institute, Zhangjiakou, China Email: yanghy88@sina.com

Abstract-To investigate the oscillatory and asymptotic behavior for a certain class of second order nonlinear neutral perturbed dynamic equations on time scales. By employing the time scales theory and some necessary analytic techniques, and introducing the class of parameter functions and generalized Riccati transformation, some new sufficient conditions for oscillation of such dynamic equations on time scales were established. The results not only improve and extend some known results in the literature, but also unify the oscillation of second order nonlinear neutral perturbed differential equations and second order nonlinear neutral perturbed difference equations. In particular, the results are essentially new under the relaxed conditions for the parameter function. Some examples are given to illustrate the main results. Dynamic equations on time scales are widely used in many fields such as computer, electrical engineering, population dynamics, and neural network, etc.

Index Terms—oscillation, nonlinear neutral perturbed dynamic equation, time scales, Riccati transformation.

I. INTRODUCTION

The theory of time scales, which has recently received a lot of attention, was introduced by Stefan Higher in his Ph.D. thesis [1] in 1988 in order to unify continuous and discrete analysis. Not only can this theory of so-called "dynamic equations" *unify* the theories of differential equations and of difference equations, but also it is able to *extend* these classical cases "in between", e.g., to socalled q-difference equations. Several authors have expounded on various aspects of this new theory, see the survey paper by Agarwal [2] and references cited therein. A book on the subject of time scales by Bohner and Peterson [3] summarizes and organizes much of the time scale calculus. A time scales T is an arbitrary nonempty closed subset of the real numbers \mathbb{R} . There are many interesting time scales and they give rise to plenty of applications, the cases when the time scale is equal to reals or the integers represent the classical theories of differential and of difference equ-ations. Another useful time scale a time scale $\mathbb{P}_{a,b} \bigcup_{n=0}^{+\infty} [n(a+b), n(a+b) + a]$ is wi-dely used to study population in biological communities, electric circuit and so on [3].

In recent years, there has been much research activity concerning the oscillation and nonoscillation of solutions of some dynamic equations on time scales, and we refer the reader to the papers [4-17] and references cited therein. Regarding neutral dynamic equations, Argarwal et al [6] considered the second order neutral delay dynamic quation

$$\{\alpha(t)[(x(t)+c(t)x(t-\tau))^{\Delta}]^{\gamma}\}^{\Delta} + f(t,x(t-\delta)) = 0.$$
(1)

where $\gamma > 0$ is an odd positive integer, τ and δ are position constants, $\alpha^{\wedge}(t) > 0$, and proved that the oscillation of (1) is equivalent to the oscillation of a first order delay dynamic inequality. Saker [7] considered (1) where $\gamma \ge 1$, is an odd positive integer, the condition $\alpha^{\wedge}(t) > 0$ is abolished and established some new sufficient conditions for oscillation of (1). However the results established in [6-7] are only valid for the time scales \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{N} , or $h\mathbb{N}$, $q^{\mathbb{N}}$, where $q^{\mathbb{N}} = \{t : t = q^k, k \in \mathbb{N}, q > 1\}$.

Sahiner et al [8] considered the general equation

$$\{\alpha(t)[(x(t) + c(t)x(\tau(t))^{\Delta}]^{\gamma}\}^{\Delta} + f(t, x(\delta(t))) = 0.$$
 (2)

on a time scale \mathbb{T} , where $\gamma \ge 1$ and $\tau(t) \le t$, $\delta(t) \le t$, and followed the argument in [6-7] by reducing the oscillation of (2) to the oscillation of a first order delay dynamic inequality and established some sufficient conditions for the oscillation. However one can easily see that the two

examples presented in [8] to illustrate the main results are valid only when $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$ and cannot be applied when $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{N}$. Agarwal, O'Regan and Saker [3]considered (2) where $\gamma \ge 1$ is an odd positive integer and $\alpha^{\Delta}(t) > 0$, and established some new oscillation criteria by employing the Riccati transformation technique which can be applied on any time scale \mathbb{T} and improved the results in [6, 8].

Bohner and Saker [9] considered perturbed nonlinear dynadynamic equation

$$\{\alpha(t)((x(t))^{\scriptscriptstyle\Delta})^{\scriptscriptstyle\gamma}\}^{\scriptscriptstyle\Delta} + F(t, x^{\scriptscriptstyle\sigma}) = G(t, x^{\scriptscriptstyle\sigma}, x^{\scriptscriptstyle\Delta}).$$
(3)

on a time scales \mathbb{T} . Where $\gamma > 0$ is an odd positive integer, using Riccati transformation techniques, they obtained some sufficient conditions for the solution to be oscillatory or converge to zero.

Following this trend, we shall study the oscillation for the second-order neutral nonlinear perturbed dynamic equations of the form

$$\{ \alpha(t)((x(t) + c(t)x(\tau(t)))^{\Delta})^{\gamma} \}^{\Delta}$$

+ $F(t, x(\delta(t))) = G(t, x(\delta(t)), x^{\Delta}),$ (4)

and

$$\{\alpha(t)((x(t) - c(t)x(\tau(t)))^{\Delta})^{\gamma}\}^{\Delta}$$

+ $F(t, x(\delta(t))) = G(t, x(\delta(t)), x^{\Delta}).$ (5)

on an arbitrary time scales \mathbb{T} , where γ is a quotient of positive odd integer, α, c is a positive real-valued rd-continuous function defined on a time scales \mathbb{T} and the following conditions are satisfied:

(H1) $0 \le c(t) \le c_0 < 1$, $\int_{\tau_0}^{+\infty} \Delta t / (\alpha(t))^{1/\gamma} = \infty$, for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$; (H2) $\tau, \delta : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T}$ satisfies $\tau(t) \le t$, for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$, either $\delta(t) \ge t$ or $\delta(t) \le t$ for all sufficiently large t, and $\lim_{t \to \infty} \tau(t) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \delta(t) = \infty$;

(H3) $p,q: \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ are rd-continuous function, such that q(t) - p(t) > 0, for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$;

(H4) $F: \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ and $G: \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ are functions such that uF(t,u) > 0 and uG(t,u,v) > 0, for all $u \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}, v \in \mathbb{R}, t \in \mathbb{T};$

(H5) $F(t,u)/u^{\gamma} \ge q(t)$, and $G(t,u,v)/u^{\gamma} \le p(t)$ for all $u, v \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}, t \in \mathbb{T}$.

We note that in all the above results the conditions $0 \le c(t) < 1$, $\gamma \ge 1$ and $\delta(t) \le t$ are required. And some authors utilized the kernel function $(t-s)^m$ or the general class of functions H(t,s) and obtained some oscillation criteria, but the condition $H^{\Delta_s}(t,s) \le 0$ is required. In this paper the study is free of these restrictions and contains the cases when $0 < \gamma < 1$, $\delta(t) \ge t$, and $-1 < c(t) \le 0$. In particular, by utilizing the general class of functions H(t,s), we shall derive some sufficient conditions for the solutions of (4) and (5) to be oscillatory or converge

to zero when the condition $H^{\Delta_s}(t,s) \le 0$ is relaxed. Our results are different from the existing results for neutral equations on time scales that were established in [6-11, 13-17]. Also, we give some examples to illustrate the main results.

Since we are interested in the oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of solutions near infinity, we assume that $\sup \mathbb{T} = \infty$, and define the time scale interval $[t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ by $[t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}} := [t_0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{T}$. By a solution of (4), we mean a nontrivial real-valued function x (t) satisfying (4) for $t \ge t_0$. A solution x (t) of (4) is said to be oscillatory if it is neither eventually positive nor eventually negative, otherwise it is called nonoscillatory. Equation (4) is said to be oscillatory if all its solutions are oscillatory. Our attention is restricted to those solutions of (4) which exist on some half line $[t_0, \infty)$ and satisfy $\sup\{|x(t)|: t \ge t_x\} > 0$, for any $t_x \ge t_0$.

The paper is organized as follows. In next section, we present some basic formula and lemma concerning the calculus on time scales. In Section 3, we will use Riccati transformation techniques and the general class of functions H(t,s) and give some sufficient conditions for the oscillatory behavior of solutions of (4) and (5). In last section, we give some examples to illustrate our main results.

Through this paper, we let

$$d_{+}(t) = \max[0, d(t)], Q(t) = (q(t) - p(t))(1 - c(\delta(t)))^{\gamma},$$

$$d_{-}(t) = \max[0, -d(t)], \rho(t, u) \coloneqq \frac{\int_{u}^{\delta(t)} \Delta s / \alpha^{1/\gamma}(s)}{\int_{u}^{t} \Delta s / \alpha^{1/\gamma}(s)},$$

and for sufficiently large T^* ,

$$\beta(t,T^*) = \begin{cases} 1, & \delta(t) \ge t, \\ \rho^{\gamma}(t,T^*), & \delta(t) \le t. \end{cases}$$

II. SOME PRELIMINARIES ON TIME SCALES

A time scales \mathbb{T} is an arbitrary nonempty closed subset of the real numbers \mathbb{R} . In this paper, we only consider time scales interval of form $[t_0,\infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$, on \mathbb{T} we define the forward jump operator σ and the graininess μ by

$$\sigma(t) := \inf \{s \in \mathbb{T} : s > t\} \text{ and } \mu(t) := \sigma(t) - t.$$

A point $t \in \mathbb{T}$ with $\sigma(t) = t$ is called right-dense, while t is referred to as being right-scattered if $\sigma(t) > t$. A function $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be rd-continuous if it is continuous at each right-dense point and if there exists a left limit in all left-dense points. The (Δ derivative) f^{Δ} of f is defined by

$$f^{\Delta}(t) = \lim_{\substack{s \to t \\ s \in U(t)}} \frac{f(\sigma(t)) - f(s)}{\sigma(t) - s}, \text{ where } U(t) = \mathbb{T} \setminus \{\sigma(t)\}.$$

The derivative and the forward jump operator are related by the useful formula

$$f^{\sigma} = f + \mu f^{\Delta}$$
, where $f^{\sigma} := f \circ \sigma$.

We will also make use of the following product and quotient rules for the derivative of the product fg and the quotient $f/g (gg^{\sigma} \neq 0)$ of two differentiable functions f and g:

$$(f g)^{\scriptscriptstyle \Delta} = f^{\scriptscriptstyle \Delta} g + f^{\scriptscriptstyle \sigma} g^{\scriptscriptstyle \Delta}, \text{ and } \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^{\scriptscriptstyle \Delta} = \frac{f^{\scriptscriptstyle \Delta} g - f g^{\scriptscriptstyle \Delta}}{g g^{\scriptscriptstyle \sigma}}.$$
 (6)

By using the product rule, the derivative of $f(t) = (t - \alpha)^m$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$ can be calculated as

$$f^{\Delta}(t) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} (\sigma(t) - \alpha)^{\nu} (t - \alpha)^{m-\nu-1}.$$
 (7)

For $a, b \in \mathbb{T}$ and a differentiable function f, the Cauchy integral of f^{\wedge} is defined by

$$\int_a^b f^{\Delta}(t) \Delta t = f(b) - f(a) \, .$$

The integration by parts formula follows from (6) and reads

$$\int_a^b f^{\Delta}(t)g(t)\Delta t = f(t)g(t)\Big|_a^b - \int_a^b f^{\sigma}(t)g^{\Delta}(t)\Delta t \; .$$

To prove our main results, we will use the formula

$$(x^{\gamma}(t))^{\Delta} = \gamma \int_{0}^{1} [hx^{\sigma} + (1-h)x]^{\gamma-1} dh x^{\Delta}(t) .$$
 (8)

which is a simple consequence of Keller's chain rule [2]. Also, we need the following lemma [5].

Lemma 1 Assume *A* and *B* are nonnegtive constants, $\lambda > 1$, then

$$\lambda A B^{\lambda-1} - A^{\lambda} \leq (\lambda - 1) B^{\lambda}$$

The reader is referred to [2] for more detailed and extensive developments in calculus on time scales.

III. MAIN RESULTS

First, we state the oscillation criteria for (4). Set

$$y(t) = x(t) + c(t)x(\tau(t)).$$
 (9)

Theorem 1 Assume that (H1) - (H5) hold, Furthermore, suppose that there exists a positive Δ -differentiable function g(t) such that for all sufficiently large T^* , and for all $\delta(T) > T^*$, we have

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \int_{T}^{t} (\beta(s, T^{*})g(s)Q(s) - \frac{\alpha(s)((g^{\Delta}(s))_{+})^{\gamma+1}}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}g^{\gamma}(s)}) \Delta s = \infty.$$
(10)

Then every solution of (4) is oscillatory on $[t_0, \infty)_{T}$.

proof Suppose (4) has a nonoscillatory solution x(t). without loss of generality, there exists some $t_1 \ge t_0$, sufficiently large such that x(t) > 0, $x(\tau(t)) > 0$, $x(\delta(t)) > 0$ for all $t \ge t_1$. Hence In the view of (9), by (H1) we get y(t) > 0. from (4) and by (H2) - (H5), we have that

$$\alpha(y^{\scriptscriptstyle \Delta})^{\scriptscriptstyle \gamma})^{\scriptscriptstyle \Delta} \leq -(q(t) - p(t))x^{\scriptscriptstyle \gamma}(\delta(t)) < 0,$$

and using the same proof of Theorem 1 [4], there exists $t_2 \ge t_1$ such that for all $t \ge t_2$, we have

$$\begin{cases} y(t) > 0, \ y^{\Delta}(t) > 0, \\ (\alpha(y^{\Delta})^{\gamma})^{\Delta} \le -(q(t) - p(t))(1 - c(\delta(t)))y^{\gamma}(\delta(t)) < 0. \end{cases}$$
(11)

By the definition of Q(t), we get

$$(\alpha(y^{\Delta})^{\gamma})^{\Delta} \leq -Q(t)y^{\gamma}(\delta(t)) < 0.$$
(12)

Make the generalized Riccati substitution

$$w(t) = g(t) \frac{\alpha(t)(y^{\Delta}(t))^{\gamma}}{y^{\gamma}(t)}.$$
 (13)

By the product and quotient rules, we have for all $t \ge t_2$

$$w^{\Delta}(t) = \frac{g(t)(\alpha(t)(y^{\Delta}(t))^{\gamma})^{\Delta}}{y^{\gamma}(t)} + \left(\frac{g(t)}{y^{\gamma}(t)}\right)^{\Delta} (\alpha(t)(y^{\Delta}(t))^{\gamma})^{\sigma} = \frac{g(t)(\alpha(t)(y^{\Delta}(t))^{\gamma})^{\Delta}}{y^{\gamma}(t)} + (\frac{g^{\Delta}(t)}{y^{\gamma\sigma}(t)} - \frac{g(t)(y^{\gamma}(t))^{\Delta}}{y^{\gamma}(t)y^{\gamma\sigma}(t)})(\alpha(t)(y^{\Delta}(t))^{\gamma})^{\sigma}.$$
(14)

From (12) - (14), we obtain

$$w^{\Delta}(t) \leq -g(t)Q(t) \left(\frac{y(\delta(t))}{y(t)}\right)^{\gamma} + \frac{g^{\Delta}(t)}{g^{\sigma}(t)}w^{\sigma}(t) - \frac{g(t)w^{\sigma}(t)}{g^{\sigma}(t)}\frac{(y^{\gamma}(t))^{\Delta}}{y^{\gamma}(t)}.$$
(15)

First consider the case when $\delta(t) \ge t$. For all large t, from $y^{\Delta}(t) > 0$, we have

$$\frac{y(\delta(t))}{y(t)} \ge 1,$$

which implies that

$$w^{\Delta}(t) \leq -g(t)Q(t) + \frac{g^{\Delta}(t)}{g^{\sigma}(t)}w^{\sigma}(t) - \frac{g(t)w^{\sigma}(t)}{g^{\sigma}(t)}\frac{(y^{\gamma}(t))^{\Delta}}{y^{\gamma}(t)}.$$
 (16)

Next consider the case when $\delta(t) \le t$, for all large *t*. By using $\alpha(y^{\Delta})^{\gamma}$ is strictly decreasing on $[t_2, \infty)$, we can choose $t_3 \ge t_2$ such that $\delta(t) \ge t_2$, for $t \ge t_3$. Then we obtain

$$y(t) - y(\delta(t)) = \int_{\delta(t)}^{t} \frac{(\alpha(s)y^{\Delta}(s))^{\gamma}y^{l/\gamma}}{\alpha^{l/\gamma}(s)} \Delta s$$
$$\leq (\alpha(\delta(t))(y^{\Delta}(\delta(t)))^{\gamma})^{l/\gamma} \int_{\delta(t)}^{t} \frac{\Delta s}{\alpha^{l/\gamma}(s)},$$

and hence

$$\frac{y(t)}{y(\delta(t))} \le 1 + \frac{(\alpha(\delta(t))(y^{\Delta}(\delta(t)))^{\gamma})^{\forall \gamma}}{y(\delta(t))} \int_{\delta(t)}^{t} \frac{\Delta s}{\alpha^{\forall \gamma}(s)}.$$
 (17)

Also, for $t \ge t_3$, we can see that

$$y(\delta(t)) > y(\delta(t)) - y(t_2) = \int_{t_2}^{\delta(t)} \frac{(\alpha(s)y^{\Delta}(s))^{\gamma}}{\alpha^{1/\gamma}(s)} \Delta s$$
$$\geq (\alpha(\delta(t))(y^{\Delta}(\delta(t)))^{\gamma})^{1/\gamma} \int_{t_2}^{\delta(t)} \frac{\Delta s}{\alpha^{1/\gamma}(s)},$$

and therefore

$$\frac{(\alpha(\delta(t))(y^{\Delta}(\delta(t)))^{\gamma})^{\psi_{\gamma}}}{y(\delta(t))} \leq \left(\int_{t_2}^{\delta(t)} \frac{\Delta s}{\alpha^{\psi_{\gamma}}(s)}\right)^{-1}$$

From (17) and the above inequality, we have

$$\frac{y(t)}{y(\delta(t))} \leq \int_{t_2}^{t} \frac{\Delta s}{\alpha^{1/\gamma}(s)} (\int_{t_2}^{\delta(t)} \frac{\Delta s}{\alpha^{1/\gamma}(s)})^{-1}, \qquad (18)$$

therefore we get the desired inequality

$$\frac{y(\delta(t))}{y(t)} \ge \rho(t, t_2), \text{ for } t \ge t_3.$$
(19)

Using (19) in (15), when $\delta(t) \le t$, we get

$$w^{\scriptscriptstyle \Delta}(t) \leq -\rho^{\scriptscriptstyle \gamma}(t,t_2)g(t)Q(t) + \frac{g^{\scriptscriptstyle \Delta}(t)}{g^{\scriptscriptstyle \sigma}(t)}w^{\scriptscriptstyle \sigma}(t) - \frac{g(t)w^{\scriptscriptstyle \sigma}(t)}{g^{\scriptscriptstyle \sigma}(t)}\frac{(y^{\scriptscriptstyle \gamma}(t))^{\scriptscriptstyle \Delta}}{y^{\scriptscriptstyle \gamma}(t)}.$$
(20)

From (16), (20) and the definition of $\beta(t, t_2)$, we have

$$w^{\scriptscriptstyle \Delta}(t) \leq -\beta(t,t_2)g(t)Q(t) + \frac{g^{\scriptscriptstyle \Delta}(t)}{g^{\scriptscriptstyle \sigma}(t)}w^{\scriptscriptstyle \sigma}(t) - \frac{g(t)w^{\scriptscriptstyle \sigma}(t)}{g^{\scriptscriptstyle \sigma}(t)}\frac{(y^{\scriptscriptstyle \gamma}(t))^{\scriptscriptstyle \Delta}}{y^{\scriptscriptstyle \gamma}(t)}.$$
(21)

By (8), we obtain

$$(y^{\gamma}(t))^{\Delta} = \gamma \int_{0}^{1} [hy^{\sigma} + (1-h)y]^{\gamma-1} dh y^{\Delta}(t)$$

$$\geq \begin{cases} \gamma (y^{\sigma}(t))^{\gamma-1} y^{\Delta}(t), \ 0 < \gamma \le 1, \\ \gamma (y(t))^{\gamma-1} y^{\Delta}(t), \quad \gamma \ge 1. \end{cases}$$

Since $\alpha(y^{\Delta})^{\gamma}$ is strictly decreasing on $[t_2, \infty)$, we get

From the last inequality and (21), if $0 < \gamma \le 1$, we have

$$\begin{split} w^{\scriptscriptstyle \Delta}(t) &\leq -\beta(t, t_2) g(t) Q(t) + \frac{g^{\scriptscriptstyle \Delta}(t)}{g^{\scriptscriptstyle \sigma}(t)} w^{\scriptscriptstyle \sigma}(t) - \\ & \frac{\gamma g(t) (w^{\scriptscriptstyle \sigma}(t))^{1+1/\gamma}}{\alpha^{1/\gamma}(t) (g^{\scriptscriptstyle \sigma}(t))^{1+1/\gamma}} \left(\frac{y^{\scriptscriptstyle \sigma}(t)}{y(t)} \right)^{\gamma}, \end{split}$$

whereas if $\gamma > 1$, we find that

$$w^{\Delta}(t) \leq -\beta(t,t_2)g(t)Q(t) + \frac{g^{\Delta}(t)}{g^{\sigma}(t)}w^{\sigma}(t)$$
$$-\frac{\gamma g(t)(w^{\sigma}(t))^{1+l/\gamma}}{\alpha^{l/\gamma}(t)(g^{\sigma}(t))^{1+l/\gamma}}\frac{y^{\sigma}(t)}{y(t)}.$$

And by using $y^{\Delta}(t) > 0$, we obtain that

$$w^{\scriptscriptstyle \Delta}(t) \leq -\beta(t, t_2)g(t)Q(t) + \frac{g^{\scriptscriptstyle \Delta}(t)_{\scriptscriptstyle +}}{g^{\scriptscriptstyle \sigma}(t)}w^{\scriptscriptstyle \sigma}(t) -\frac{\gamma g(t)}{\alpha^{\scriptscriptstyle V_{\scriptscriptstyle T}}(t)(g^{\scriptscriptstyle \sigma}(t))^{\scriptscriptstyle \lambda}}(w^{\scriptscriptstyle \sigma}(t))^{\scriptscriptstyle \lambda},$$
(22)

where $\lambda := (\gamma + 1)/\gamma$. Define $A \ge 0$ and $B \ge 0$ by

$$A^{\lambda} \coloneqq \frac{\gamma g(t)(w^{\sigma}(t))^{\lambda}}{\alpha^{1/\gamma}(t)(g^{\sigma}(t))^{\lambda}}, \ B^{\lambda-1} \coloneqq \frac{\alpha^{1/(\gamma+1)}(t)(g^{\Lambda}(t))_{+}}{\lambda(\gamma g(t))^{1/\lambda}},$$

then using Lemma 1, we obtain

$$\frac{g^{\Delta}(t)_{+}}{g^{\sigma}(t)}w^{\sigma}(t) - \frac{\gamma g(t)}{\alpha^{1/\gamma}(t)(g^{\sigma}(t))^{\lambda}}(w^{\sigma}(t))^{\lambda} \leq \frac{\alpha(t)((g^{\Delta}(t))_{+})^{\gamma+1}}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}g^{\gamma}(t)}$$

From the last inequality and (22), we have

$$w^{\Delta}(t) \leq \frac{\alpha(t)((g^{\Delta}(t))_{+})^{\gamma+1}}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}} - \beta(t,t_{2})g(t)Q(t).$$

Integrating both sides from t_3 to t, we get

$$\int_{t_3}^{t} [\beta(s,t_2)g(s)Q(s) - \frac{\alpha(s)((g^{\Delta}(s))_{+})^{\gamma+1}}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}}g^{\gamma}(s)]\Delta s$$

 $\leq w(t_3) - w(t) \leq w(t_3),$

which leads to a contradiction to (10). This completes the proof.

Corollary 1 Assume that (H1) - (H5) hold, Furthermore, suppose that for all sufficiently large T^* , and for $\delta(T) > T^*$, we have

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\sup\int_T^t(s\beta(s,T^*)Q(s)-\frac{\alpha(s)}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}s^{\gamma}})\Delta s=\infty$$

Then every solution of (4) is oscillatory on $[t_0,\infty)_{T}$.

Corollary 2 Assume that (H1) - (H5) hold, Furthermore, suppose that for all sufficiently large T^* , and for $\delta(T) > T^*$, we have

$$\limsup \int_T^t \beta(s, T^*) Q(s) \Delta s = \infty .$$

Then every solution of (4) is oscillatory on $[t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$.

We next study a Philos-type oscillation criteria for (4). First, Let us introduce the class of functions \Re which will be extensively used in the sequel.

Let $\mathbb{D} = \{(t, s) \in \mathbb{T}^2 : t \ge s \ge t_0\}$. The function $H \in C_{rd}$ (\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{R}) is said to belong to the class \mathfrak{R} by $H \in \mathfrak{R}$, if

$$H(t,t) = 0, t \ge t_0; \ H(t,s) > 0, t > s \ge t_0, \quad (23)$$

and *H* has a continuous Δ – partial derivative $H^{\Delta_s}(t,s)$ with respect to the second variable.

Theorem 2 Assume that (H1) - (H5) hold. Let g(t) be as defined in Theorem 1, and $H, h \in C_{rd}(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{R})$ such that $H \in \Re$. Furthermore, suppose that there exists a positive rd-continuous function $\varphi(t)$ satisfies

$$\frac{H(t,s)}{H(t,t_0)} \le \varphi(s), \qquad (24)$$

$$-H^{\Delta_{s}}(t,s) - H(t,s)\frac{g^{\Delta}(s)}{g^{\sigma}(s)} = \frac{h(t,s)}{g^{\sigma}(s)}(H(t,s))^{\gamma/(\gamma+1)}, \quad (25)$$

and for all sufficiently large T^* , we have

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \sup \frac{1}{H(t,t_0)} \int_{t_0}^{t} [\beta(s,T^*)g(s)Q(s)H(t,s) -\frac{\alpha(s)(h_-(t,s))^{\gamma+1}}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}}g^{\gamma}(s)}] \Delta s = \infty.$$
(26)

Then every solution of (4) is oscillatory on $[t_0, \infty)_{T}$.

Proof Suppose (4) has a nonoscillatory solution x(t), without loss of generality, say x(t) > 0, $x(\tau(t)) > 0$, $x(\delta(t)) > 0$, for all $t \ge t_1$, for some $t_1 \ge t_0$. By (H2) - (H5), proceed as in the proof of Theorem 1, we get that (11) holds for all $t \ge t_1$. Again we define w(t) as in the proof of Theorem 1, then there exists $t_2 \ge t_1$, sufficiently large such that for all $t^* \ge t_2$ and for $t \ge t^*$, (22) holds and let $g^{\Delta}(t)_+$ be replaced by $g^{\Delta}(t)$ in (22), thus

$$\beta(t,t_2)g(t)Q(t) \leq -w^{\Delta}(t) + \frac{g^{\Delta}(t)}{g^{\sigma}(t)}w^{\sigma}(t) - \frac{\gamma g(t)}{\alpha^{\gamma}(t)(g^{\sigma}(t))^{\lambda}}(w^{\sigma}(t))^{\lambda}.$$
(27)

Multiplying both the sides of (27), with t replaced by s, by H(t, s) and integrating with respect to s from t^* to t, we obtain

$$\int_{t^{*}}^{t} H(t,s)\beta(s,t_{2})g(s)Q(s)\Delta s \leq$$

$$-\int_{t^{*}}^{t} H(t,s)w^{\Delta}(s)\Delta s + \int_{t^{*}}^{t} H(t,s)\frac{g^{\Delta}(s)}{g^{\sigma}(s)}w^{\sigma}(s)\Delta s$$

$$-\int_{t^{*}}^{t} H(t,s)\frac{\gamma g(s)}{\alpha^{1/\gamma}(s)(g^{\sigma}(s))^{\lambda}}(w^{\sigma}(s))^{\lambda}\Delta s.$$

Integrating by parts formula and using (23) and (25), we get

$$\int_{t^{*}}^{t} H(t,s)\beta(s,t_{2})g(s)Q(s)\Delta s \leq H(t,t^{*})w(t^{*}) + \int_{t^{*}}^{t} \left[\frac{h(t,s)_{-}(H(t,s))^{1/\lambda}}{g^{\sigma}(s)}w^{\sigma}(s) - \frac{\gamma H(t,s)g(s)}{\alpha^{1/\gamma}(s)(g^{\sigma}(s))^{\lambda}}(w^{\sigma}(s))^{\lambda}\right]\Delta s.$$
⁽²⁸⁾

And applying Lemma 1, we obtain

$$\frac{h_{-}(t,s)(H(t,s))^{\gamma_{\lambda}}}{g^{\sigma}(s)}w^{\sigma}(s) - \frac{\gamma H(t,s)g(s)}{\alpha^{\gamma_{\gamma}}(s)(g^{\sigma}(s))^{\lambda}}(w^{\sigma}(s))^{\lambda} \leq \frac{(h_{-}(t,s))^{\gamma+1}\alpha(s)}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}g^{\gamma}(s)}.$$

From the last inequality and (24), (28), we have

$$\frac{1}{H(t,t_0)} \int_{t_0}^{t} [\beta(s,t_2)g(s)Q(s)H(t,s) - \frac{(h_{-}(t,s))^{\gamma+1}\alpha(s)}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}g^{\gamma}(s)}] \Delta s$$

$$\leq \varphi(t^*)w(t^*) + \int_{t_0}^{t^*} \varphi(s)\beta(s,t_2)g(s)Q(s)\Delta s < \infty,$$

which contradicts with (26). The proof is completed

Remark 1 If $H^{A_i}(t,s) \le 0$ holds for $t \ge s \ge t_0$, then (24) holds (It is easily proved). But, the converse is not true. For instance, let $H(t,s) = (t-s)^m \mu(s), t \ge s \ge t_0$, where $\mu: \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is rd-continuously differential function, $m \ge 1$ is an integer. Clearly $H \in \mathfrak{R}$, and for all $t \ge s \ge t_0$, from (6) and (7), we have

$$\frac{H(t,s)}{H(t,t_0)} \le \frac{(t-s)^m \,\mu(s)}{(t-t_0)^m \,\mu(t_0)} = \varphi(s),$$

$$H^{\Delta_{s}}(t,s) = -\sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} (t - \sigma(s))^{\nu} (t - s)^{m-\nu-1} \mu(s) + (t - \sigma(s))^{m} \mu^{\Delta}(s)$$

$$\leq -m(t - \sigma(s))^{m-1} \mu(s) + (t - \sigma(s))^{m} \mu^{\Delta}(s),$$

by Remark 3.3 in [7]. Clearly the right side of the second inequality is not necessarily nonpositive. Therefore $H^{\Delta_s}(t,s)$ is not necessarily nonpositive for $t \ge s \ge t_0$.

In Theorem 2, let g(t) = 1 and $H(t, s) = (t - s)^m$, we have the following result.

Corollary 3 Assume that (H1) - (H5) hold, and $m \ge 1$, for all sufficiently large T^* , we have

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty}\sup\frac{1}{t^m}\int_{t_0}^t(t-s)^m\beta(s,T^*)Q(s)\Delta s=\infty.$$

Then every solution of (4) is oscillatory on $[t_0,\infty)_{T}$.

Next, we state the oscillation criteria for (5). Set

$$z(t) = x(t) - c(t)x(\tau(t))$$

Theorem 3 Assume that (H1) - (H5) hold, Furthermore, suppose that there exists a positive Δ -differentiable function g(t) such that for all sufficiently large T^* , and for all $\delta(T) > T^*$, we have

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \sup \int_{T}^{t} [\beta(s, T^{*})g(s)(q(s) - p(s)) - \frac{\alpha(s)((g^{\Delta}(s))_{+})^{\gamma+1}}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}g^{\gamma}(s)}] \Delta s = \infty.$$
(29)

Then every solution of (5) is either oscillatory on $[t_0, \infty)_{T}$ or tends to zero.

proof Suppose that x is an eventually positive solution of (5), say x(t) > 0, $x(\tau(t)) > 0$, $x(\delta(t)) > 0$ for all $t \ge t_1$ for some $t_1 \ge t_0$. We consider only this case, because the proof for the case that x is eventually negative is similar. In the view of (5), by (H2) - (H5), and there exists $t_2 \ge t_1$ such that for all $t \ge t_2$, we have

$$(\alpha(z^{\scriptscriptstyle \Lambda})^{\scriptscriptstyle \gamma})^{\scriptscriptstyle \Lambda} \le -(q(t) - p(t))x^{\scriptscriptstyle \gamma}(\delta(t)) < 0, \quad (30)$$

then $\alpha(z^{\Delta})^{\gamma}$ is strictly decreasing on $[t_2, \infty)$. Hence z(t)and $z^{\Delta}(t)$ are of constant sign eventually. We claim that x(t) is bounded. If not, there exists $\{t_k\} \subseteq [t_2, \infty)$, such that $\lim_{k \to \infty} t_k = \infty, \lim_{k \to \infty} x(t_k) = \infty$, and

$$x(t_k) = \max\{x(s) : t_0 \le s \le t_k\}.$$

Since $\lim_{k\to\infty} \tau(t_k) = \infty$, we can choose a large k such that $\tau(t_k) > t_0$, and by (H2), we obtain that

$$x(\tau(t_k)) = \max \{x(s) : t_0 \le s \le \tau(t_k)\}$$

$$\le \max \{x(s) : t_0 \le s \le t_k\} = x(t_k).$$

Therefore, for all large *k*,

$$z(\tau(t_k)) \ge x(t_k) - c_0 x(\tau(t_k)) \ge (1 - c_0) x(t_k),$$

and $\lim_{k\to\infty} z(t_k) = \infty$. From (H1) and (30), as in the proof of Theorem 1 [4], there exists $t_3 \ge t_2$ such that for all $t \ge t_3$, we have

$$z(t) > 0, z^{\Delta}(t) > 0$$
. (31)

In view of (5), (30) and (31), we get

$$(\alpha(z^{\scriptscriptstyle \Lambda})^{\scriptscriptstyle \gamma})^{\scriptscriptstyle \Lambda} \le -(q(t) - p(t))z^{\scriptscriptstyle \gamma}(\delta(t)) < 0.$$
 (32)

Now by using the same proof of Theorem 1, we get a contradiction with (29). Thus x(t) is bounded and hence z(t) is bounded.

Also, by using (H1) and the same proof of Theorem 1 in [4], there exist $t_4 \ge t_3$ such that $z^{\wedge}(t) > 0$ on $[t_4, \infty)$. There are two cases.

Case 1 z(t) > 0 and $z^{\Delta}(t) > 0$. As in the proof of Theorem 1, we get a contradiction with (29).

Case 2
$$z(t) < 0$$
 and $z^{\Delta}(t) > 0$. We claim $\lim_{t \to \infty} x(t) = 0$.

Assume not, then there exists $\{t_k\} \subseteq [t_s, \infty)$ such that $\lim_{k \to \infty} t_k = \infty$, $\lim_{k \to \infty} x(t_k) =: b > 0$ and $x(t_k) = \max \{x(s) : t_0 \le s \le t_k\}$. But, by $x(\tau(t_k)) \le x(t_k)$, we get

$$0 > z(t_k) \ge x(t_k)(1-c_0) \to b(1-c_0) > 0$$
, as $k \to \infty$.

Which is a contradiction. This completes the proof.

Corollary 4 Assume that (H1) - (H5) hold, Furthermore, suppose that for all sufficiently large T^* , and for $\delta(T) > T^*$, we have

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty} \sup \int_T^t (s\beta(s,T^*)(q(s)-p(s)) - \frac{\alpha(s)}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}s^{\gamma}}) \Delta s = \infty.$$

Then every solution of (5) is either oscillatory on $[t_0,\infty)_{T}$ or tends to zero.

Corollary 5 Assume that (H1) - (H5) hold, Furthermore, suppose that for all sufficiently large T^* , and for $\delta(T) > T^*$, we have

$$\limsup_{T} \int_{T}^{t} \beta(s, T^{*})(q(s) - p(s)) \Delta s = \infty.$$

Then every solution of (5) is either oscillatory on $[t_0, \infty)_{T}$ or tends to zero.

We next study a Philos-type oscillation criteria for (5).

Theorem 4 Assume that (H1) - (H5) hold. Let g(t) be as defined in Theorem 1, and $H, h \in C_{rd}(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{R})$ such that $H \in \mathfrak{R}$. Furthermore, suppose that there exists a positive rd-continuous function $\varphi(t)$ such that (24), (25) hold, and for all sufficiently large T^* , we have

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \sup \frac{1}{H(t,t_0)} \int_{t_0}^{t} \{\beta(s,T^*)g(s)(q(s) - p(s))H(t,s) -\frac{\alpha(s)(h_-(t,s))^{\gamma+1}}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}} \} \Delta s = \infty.$$
(33)

Then every solution of (5) is either oscillatory on $[t_0, \infty)_{T}$ or tends to zero.

Proof Suppose that (5) has a nonoscillatory solution x(t), without loss of generality, say x(t) > 0, $x(\tau(t)) > 0$, $x(\delta(t)) > 0$, for all $t \ge t_1$, for some $t_1 \ge t_0$. By (H2) - (H5), we obtain that (30) holds for all $t \ge t_1$, and z(t) and $z^A(t)$ are of constant sign eventually. Similar to the proof of Theorem 3, we claim that x(t) is bounded. If not, there exists $\{t_k\} \subseteq [t_1, \infty)$, for all large k, there exists $t_2 \ge t_1$, such that (31) and (32) hold for $t \ge t_2$. Again we define w(t) as in the proof of Theorem 1, then there exists $t_3 \ge t_2$, sufficiently large such that for $t^* \ge t_3$ and for $t \ge t^*$, we find

$$\beta(t,t_{3})g(t)(q(t)-p(t))$$

$$\leq -w^{\Delta}(t) + \frac{g^{\Delta}(t)}{g^{\sigma}(t)}w^{\sigma}(t) - \frac{\gamma g(t)}{\alpha^{1/\gamma}(t)(g^{\sigma}(t))^{\lambda}}(w^{\sigma}(t))^{\lambda}.$$
(34)

And similar to the proof of the theorem 3, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{H(t,t_0)} \int_{t_0}^{t} [\beta(s,t_3)g(s)(q(s) - p(s))H(t,s) - \frac{(h_{-}(t,s))^{\gamma+1}\alpha(s)}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}g^{\gamma}(s)}] \Delta s \le \varphi(t^*)w(t^*) +$$

 $\int_{t_0}^{t^*} \varphi(s) \beta(s, t_3) g(s) (q(s) - p(s)) \Delta s < \infty,$

which contradicts with (33). Thus x(t) is bounded and hence z(t) is bounded.

Similar to the proof of Theorem in [4], there exists $t_4 \ge t^*$ such that $z^{A}(t) > 0$ on $[t_4, \infty)$. And then there are two cases of Theorem 3. As in the proof of Theorem 3, if the case 1 holds, we get a contradiction with (33) ; if the case 2 holds, we obtain $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = 0$. This completes the proof.

In Theorem 4, let g(t) = 1 and $H(t,s) = (t-s)^m$, we have the following result.

Corollary 6 Assume that (H1) - (H5) hold, and $m \ge 1$, for all sufficiently large T^* , we have

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\sup\frac{1}{t^m}\int_{t_0}^t(t-s)^m\beta(s,T^*)(q(s)-p(s))\Delta s=\infty.$$

Then every solution of (5) is either oscillatory on $[t_0, \infty)_{T}$ or tends to zero.

IV. EXAMPLES

In this section, we give some examples to illustrate our main results. Define

$$\xi(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \delta(t) \ge t, \\ \rho^{\gamma}(t, t_0), \delta(t) \le t \end{cases}$$

Note that $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \Delta t / (\alpha(t))^{1/\gamma} = \infty$, implies $\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\beta(t, T^*)}{\xi(t)} = 1$.

Example 1 Consider the nonlinear neutral perturbed dynamic equation

$$(t^{\gamma^{-1}}((x(t)\pm\frac{1}{t+1}x(\tau(t)))^{\scriptscriptstyle \Delta})^{\scriptscriptstyle \gamma})^{\scriptscriptstyle \Delta} +F(t,x(\delta(t))) = G(t,x(\delta(t)),x^{\scriptscriptstyle \Delta}),$$
(35)

for $t \in [1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$, where γ is the quotient of odd positive integers. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(t) &= t^{\gamma-1}, \ F(t,u) = \left(\frac{k(1+\delta(t))^{\gamma}}{t^2\delta^{\gamma}(t)\xi(t)} + \frac{1}{t^4} + u^2\right)u^{\gamma}, \\ c(t) &= \frac{1}{t+1}, \ G(t,u,v) = \frac{k(1+\delta(t))^{\gamma}}{2t^2\delta^{\gamma}(t)\xi(t)} \frac{u^{\gamma+2}}{(u^2+v^2+1)}, \end{aligned}$$

where k is a positive constant. Then

$$Q(t) = k/2t^2 \,\xi(t) \,.$$

Since $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \Delta t / (\alpha(t))^{1/\gamma} = \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \Delta t / t^{\gamma-1/\gamma} = \infty$, hence the conditions (H1) - (H5) are clearly satisfied. And,

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \sup \int_{T}^{t} (s\beta(s,T^{*})g(s)Q(s) - \frac{\alpha(s)}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}s^{\gamma}}) \Delta s$$
$$= (\frac{k}{2} - \frac{1}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}}) \lim_{t \to \infty} \sup \int_{T}^{t} \frac{\Delta s}{s} = \infty,$$

if
$$k > 2/(\gamma + 1)^{\gamma + 1}$$
. Also,

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \sup \int_{T}^{t} (s\beta(s,T^{*})g(s)(q(s)-p(s)) - \frac{\alpha(s)}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}s^{\gamma}}) \Delta s$$
$$= (\frac{k}{2} - \frac{1}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}}) \lim_{t \to \infty} \sup \int_{T}^{t} \frac{\Delta s}{s} = \infty,$$

if $k > 2/(\gamma + 1)^{\gamma+1}$. Thus it follows from Corollary 1 that every solution of (35) + is oscillatory on $[1,\infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ if $k > 2/(\gamma + 1)^{\gamma+1}$, and it follows from Corollary 4 that every solution of (35) - is either oscillatory on $[1,\infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ or tends to zero if $k > 2/(\gamma + 1)^{\gamma+1}$.

Example 2 Consider the nonlinear neutral perturbed dynamic equation

$$(t^{2/3}((x(t) - \frac{1}{2 + \sin^2 t} x(\tau(t)))^{\Delta})^{5/3})^{\Delta} + F(t, x(\delta(t))) = G(t, x(\delta(t)), x^{\Delta}).$$
(36)

for $t \in [2,\infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$, where $\alpha(t) = t^{2/3}$, $\gamma = 5/3$, $c(t) = 1/(2 + \sin^2 t)$ Let

$$F(t,u) = (\frac{1}{t\xi(t)} + t^4 + u^2)u^{5/3},$$

and

$$G(t, u, v) = \frac{1}{2t\xi(t)} \frac{u^{11/3}}{(u^2 + v^4 + 2)}$$

Then $q(t) - p(t) = 1/2t \xi(t)$. The conditions (H1) - (H5) are clearly satisfied. For all $t > s \ge 2$, let m=2, we have

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \sup \frac{1}{t^2} \int_2^t (t-s)^2 \beta(s,T^*)(q(s)-p(s)) \Delta s$$
$$= \lim_{t \to \infty} \sup \frac{1}{t^2} \int_2^t \frac{(t-s)^2}{2s} \Delta s$$
$$= \lim_{t \to \infty} \sup [\frac{1}{t^2} \int_2^t \frac{s}{2} \Delta s + \int_2^t \frac{1}{2s} \Delta s - \frac{t-2}{t}] = \infty.$$

Thus it follows from Corollary 6 that every solution of (36) is either oscillatory on $[2,\infty)_{T}$ or tends to zero.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

To investigate the oscillatory and asymptotic behavior for a certain class of second order nonlinear neutral perturbed dynamic equations on time scales. This paper proposed some new sufficient conditions for oscillation of such dynamic equations on time scales were established. The results not only improve and extend some known results in the literature, but also unify the oscillation of second order nonlinear neutral perturbed differential equations and second order nonlinear neutral perturbed difference equations. In particular, the results are essentially new under the relaxed conditions for the parameter function.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by a grant from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11161049) and the Science Foundation of Zhangjiakou, China (1112027B-1).

REFERENCES

- S. Hilger, "Analysis on measure chains-A unified approach to continuous and discrete calculus", *Results Math.*, vol. 18, pp. 18–56, July 1990.
- [2] R.P. Agarwal, M. Bohner, D. O'Regan, and A. Peterson, "Dynamic equations on time scales, a survey", *Comput. Appl. Math.*, vol. 141, pp. 1–26, March 2002.
- [3] M. Bohner and A. Peterson, Dynamic Equations On Time Scales: An Introduction with Applications. Birkhauser, CA: Boston, 2001.
- [4] H. Y. Yang, Q., Ge, and X. P. Yu, "Oscillation criteria for second order nonlinear neutral dynamic equations on time scales", *Mathematics in Practice and Theory*, vol. 38, pp. 253–256, September 2008.
- [5] D. X. Chen and J. C. Liu, "Oscillation theorems for second order nonlinear neutral dynamic equations on time scales", *J. Sys. Sci. & Math. Scis.*, vol. 9, pp. 1191–1205, September 2010.
- [6] P.R. Agarwal, D. O'Regan, and S. H. Saker, "Oscillation criteria for second-order nonlinear neutral delay dynamic equations", *Math. Anal. Appl.*, vol. 300, pp. 203–217, March 2004.
- [7] S. H. Saker, "Oscillation of second-order nonlinear neutral delay dynamic equations on time scales", *Comput. Appl. Math.*, vol. 187, pp. 123–141, May 2006.
- [8] Y. Sahiner, "Oscillation of second-order neutral delay and Mixed-type dynamic equations on time scales", J. Adv.Diff. Equ., vol. 3, pp. 1–9, May 2006.
- [9] M. Bohner, S. H. Saker, "Oscillation Criteria for a perturbed nonlinear dynamic equations", *Math. Comp. Modelling*, vol. 40, pp. 249–260, August 2004.
- [10] J. S. Yang, "Oscillation for a class of second-order nonlinear dynamic equations on time scales", *J. of Sichuan University*, vol. 48, pp. 278–283, March 2011.
- [11] E. Thandapani and V. Piramanantham, "Oscillation criteria of second order neutral delay dynamic equations with distributed deviating arguments", *Electronic J. of Qualitative Theory of Diff. Equ.*, vol. 61, pp. 1–15, April 2010.
- [12] S. Petr and T. Bevan, "Applications of maximum principles to dynamic equations on time scales", J. Diff. Eqs. & Appl. vol. 16, pp. 373–388, November 2010.
- [13] D. Anderson, "Oscillation and nonoscillation criteria for Two-Dimensional time-scale systems of First-Order nonlinear dynamic equations. electronic", *J. of Diff. Eqs.* Vol. 24, pp. 1–13, January 2009.
- [14] J. S. Yang and B. Fang, "Oscillation criteria of a class of second-order dynamic equations on time scales", *Appl. Math. A J. of Chinese Universities.* Vol. 26, pp. 149–157, June 2011.
- [15] J. S. Yang, "Asymptotic behavior of second-order nonlinear dynamic equations on time scales", J. Inner Mongolia University. Vol. 41, pp. 153–156, March 2010.
- [16] X. P. Yu, H. Y. Yang and Y. X. Xu, "Oscillation criteria for second-order neutral nonlinear dynamic equations on time scales", *Proceedings of the 5th ICMB*, Vol. 1, pp. 353–356, June 2011.
- [17] X. P. Yu, H. Y. Yang and J. M. Zhang, "Oscillation criteria for nonlinear neutral perturbed dynamic equations on time scales", *Ann. Diff. Eqs.*, in press.

Xiuping Yu was born in Yu County, Hebei Province, China in April 1966. She graduated from Hebei Normal University, Shijiazhuang City, China majoring in mathematics with a B.S. degree in 1988. And then she earned a Master's degree in applied mathematics from Hebei University, Baoding City, China in 2003.

At present, she teaches in Department of Mathematics and Physics and serves as DIRECTOR of BASIC MATHEMATICS SECTION in Hebei Institute of Architecture and Civil Engineering, Zhangjiakou City, China. In recent years she has participated in guite a few international and domestic academic conferences during summer vocations. She was once a major member of the 5th International Congress on Mathematical Biology and the 2nd International Conference on Information Computing and Applications. She has been mainly engaged in functional deferential equation and dynamic system. She has completed five provincial-level scientific research projects as project leader and principal researcher. Her main achievements are interval oscillation criteria for high order neutral deferential equations with continuous deviating arguments (Ann. Diff. Eqs. vol. 22, pp. 411-417, August 2006), and permanence of population with Holling II function response in air pollution (Mathematics in Practice and Theory, vol. 37, pp. 102-108, October 2007). Currently, she has a strong interest in the properties and applications of dynamic equations on time scales.

Prof. Yu is a member of the National Functional Differential Equation Society as well as of the National Biological Mathematical Society. She also works as a director of Hebei Applied Statistics Society. Her paper, interval oscillation criteria for high order neutral deferential equations with continuous deviating arguments, won an excellence award at the 9th National Functional Differential Equation Conference. Another paper, permanence of population with Holling II function response in air pollution got the first prize at the 6th Biological Mathematical Conference. The paper, oscillation criteria for second order neutral nonlinear dynamic equations on time scales was published in Proceedings of the 5th ICMB by World Academic Press.

Hua Du was born in Zhangjiakou City, Hebei Province, China in December 1981. She graduated from Hebei Normal University, Shijiazhuang City, China majoring in computer information technology with a B.S. degree in 2006. And then she earned a Master's degree in computer application from Capital Normal University, Beijing City, China in 2009.

At present, she teaches in the Information Science and Engineering College of Hebei North University, Zhangjiakou City, China. In recent years, she has been mainly engaged in information management and computer network.

Hongyu Yang was born in Kangbao Country, Hebei Province, China in August 1966. He graduated from Hebei Agricultural University, Baoding City, China majoring in agricultural machanization with a B.E. degree in 1988. And then he earned a Master's degree in mechanical engineering from Chinese Agricultural University, Beijing City, China in 2012.

At present, he teaches in Department of Mechanical Engineering and serves as DIRECTOR OF DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING in Zhangjiakou Vocational Technology Institute, Zhangjiakou, China. In recent years he has participated in quite a few domestic academic conferences during summer vocations. He has been mainly engaged in dynamic system.