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Abstract—Dempster Shafer theory of evidence has been widely used in many data fusion application systems. However, how to determine basic probability assignment, which is the main and the first step in evidence theory, is still an open issue. In this paper, based on the distance measure between the sample data under test and the model of attribute of species, a new method to obtain BPA is proposed. A numerical example is used to illustrate the efficiency of the proposed method.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Data fusion is a technique that enables us to simultaneously take into account heterogeneous data coming from different sources to get an optimal estimation of objects under investigation. Whatever the application, the goal of data fusion is to reduce uncertainty and imprecision by combining both redundant and complementary data. A few mathematical formalisms (probability, fuzzy logic, possibilities, evidence theory, etc.) are available to perform a measure of the uncertainty and the imprecision. Among these, evidence theory, also called Dempster-Shafer (DS) evidence theory, is a powerful and flexible mathematical tool for handling uncertain, imprecise, and incomplete information. That is so for at least for three reasons. First, by representing the uncertainty and the imprecision of a body of knowledge via the notion of evidence, belief can be committed to a single hypothesis or a composite. Second, the evidence combination rule of the DS theory provides an interesting operator to integrate multiple information from different sources. Finally, the decision on the optimal hypothesis choice can be made in a flexible and rational manner [1-4].

In real data fusion application systems based on DS theory, the basic probability assignment function should be given so that the combined BPA can be obtained through Dempster’s rule of combination. However, how to determine basic probability assignment, which is the main and the first step in evidence theory, is still an open issue. Many authors have addressed this problem using different approaches. Yager associated the DS belief structure with a whole class of fuzzy measures, and discussed the entropy of a fuzzy measure [5]. Zhu et.al. proposed a method to derive mass values from fuzzy membership degrees. To do this, fuzzy c-means (FCM) clustering is used to represent the grey levels as fuzzy sets [6]. Bloch used the distance to cluster centers [7]. In this work mass functions are estimated in unsupervised way and using fuzzy membership functions to take into account the ambiguity within pixels. This ambiguity is due to inherent vagueness rather than randomness. Even
if these approaches do not assume any particular probability distribution for the grey level values, they suffer from the fact that mass values are derived in a more or less heuristic manner. The mass functions may also be derived from probabilities. Le Hégarat-Mascle et al. [4] and Salzenstein et al. [8] modeled the knowledge provided by each information source using probability density functions (pdfs). A subtractive scheme is then used to convert these pdfs into confidence levels. Indeed, the mass value associated with each compound hypothesis is obtained by subtracting the mass values of the involved individual hypotheses. Bendjeebour et al. [9] proposed a probabilistic model where the frame of discernment contains the individual clusters and the ignorance that is modeled by the union of all individual clusters. In this work, the authors derived the mass value of ignorance from the mixture of distributions of the individual clusters composing it. We use random sets to obtain the BPA in target recognition [10], which to some extent to be seen as generalized fuzzy Bayesian method.

In this paper, a new method to obtain BPA is proposed. The new method uses the existing sample data to build a normal distribution described model of attribute categories. Based on the distance measure between the sample data under test and the model of attribute, BPA is obtained. The paper is organized as follows: In section 2, the preliminaries of evidence theory are briefly introduced. In section 3, a new method to determine BPA is proposed. An experiment of Iris data classification is used to illustrate the efficiency of our method in section 4. Conclusions are made in Section 5.

II. DEMPSTER-SHAFER EVIDENCE THEORY

Evidence theory first supposes the definition of a set of hypotheses \( \Theta \) called the frame of discernment, defined as follows:

\[ \Theta = \{ H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_N \} . \tag{1} \]

It is composed of \( N \) exhaustive and exclusive hypotheses. Form the frame of discernment \( \Theta \), let us denote \( P(\Theta) \), the power set composed with the \( 2^N \) propositions \( A \) of \( \Theta \):

\[ P(\Theta) = \{ \emptyset, \{H_1\}, \{H_2\}, \ldots, \{H_N\}, \{H_1 \cup H_2 \}, \{H_1 \cup H_3 \}, \ldots, \Theta \} . \tag{2} \]

where \( \emptyset \) denotes the empty set. The \( N \) subsets containing only one element are called singletons. A key point of evidence theory is the basic probability assignment (BPA). A BPA is a function from \( P(\Theta) \) to \([0,1]\) defined by:

\[ m : P(\Theta) \rightarrow [0,1] \]

\[ A \mapsto m(A) \tag{3} \]

and which satisfies the following conditions:

\[ \sum_{A \in P(\Theta)} m(A) = 1; m(\emptyset) = 0 . \tag{4} \]

Dempster’s rule of combination (also called orthogonal sum), noted by \( m = m_1 \oplus m_2 \), is the first one within the framework of evidence theory which can combine two BPAs \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \) to yield a new BPA:

\[ m(A) = \frac{\sum_{B \subseteq C} m_1(B)m_2(C)}{1 - k} . \tag{5} \]

With

\[ k = \sum_{B \subseteq C} m_1(B)m_2(C) . \tag{6} \]

where \( k \) is a normalization constant, called conflict because it measures the degree of conflict between \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \), \( k = 0 \) corresponds to the absence of conflict between \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \), whereas \( k = 1 \) implies complete contradiction between \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \). The belief function resulting from the combination of \( J \) information sources \( S_j \) defined as

\[ m = m_1 \oplus m_2 \ldots \oplus m_j \ldots \oplus m_J . \tag{7} \]

As can be seen from above, multi source information can be easily fused in the framework of evidence theory, if we can obtain the BPA functions. We present our method in next section.

III. PROPOSED METHOD TO DETERMINE BPA

In fact, some sample are existed in many system, which often approximatively submit the normal distribution. So we use the existing sample data to build a normal distribution described model of attribute categories, then generate the function of BPA based on the relationship between the test data and the normal distribution model. In order to be understood easily, the following Iris data classification problem shows the detailed approach of the proposed method.

In the Iris data, there are 3 species of Iris flower, i.e., Setosa, Versicolor, and Virginica [11]. The Iris data contains 150 instances, and each species contains 50 instances. There are four attributes, i.e., Sepal Length (SL), Sepal Width(SW), Petal Length(PL), and Petal Width(PW) in the Iris data.

We randomly choose 40 instances from Setosa and the mean \( \mu \) and the standard deviation \( \sigma \) of the Sepal Length (SL) of Setosa are obtained as follows:

\[ \mu = 5.0375 , \quad \sigma = 0.3621 . \]

Hence, we can construct the normal distribution described model of SL attribute of Setosa in Fig. 1.

In the same way, we randomly choose 40 instances from Versicolor and Viriginca. the mean \( \mu \) and the standard deviation \( \sigma \) of the Sepal Length (SL) of each species can be obtained, shown in Table 1. The normal distribution described model of SL attribute of each species, shown in Fig. 2, can be constructed based on the data of Table 1.
TABLE I.
The mean and the standard deviation of SL attribute of each species

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Mean (µ)</th>
<th>Standard Deviation (σ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Setosa(S)</td>
<td>5.0375</td>
<td>0.3621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Versicolor(C)</td>
<td>6.0100</td>
<td>0.5232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginica(V)</td>
<td>6.6225</td>
<td>0.6841</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In a similar way, the normal distribution described models of Sepal Width(SW) attribute, Petal Length(PL) attribute, and Petal Width(PW) attribute of each species can be constructed, shown in Fig. 3, Fig. 4, Fig. 5.
We randomly choose a datum from Iris source, for example, a new instance (NI) can be shown as (5.0cm, 3.5cm, 1.3cm, 0.3cm). In Fig. 6, the relation between SL attribute of NI and the normal distribution described model of SL attribute of each species are distinctly showed.

Figure 6. The relation between the new instance and the model of SL attribute of each species

Now a distance measure \[d(A) = 2\int_\mu^\infty p(x | \mu)dx.\] (8)

Where \(p(x | \mu)\) is probability density function (PDF) of the normal distribution described model of attribute category \(A\), defined as follows:

\[p(x | \mu) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{x-\mu}{\sigma} \right)^2 \right\}.\] (9)

Where \(\mu\) is the mean of the normal distribution described model of attribute category \(A\), and \(\sigma\) is the corresponding standard deviation.

Furthermore, error function \(\text{erf}[x]\) \cite{12} is defined as

\[\text{erf}(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^x e^{-t^2} dt.\] (10)

Therefore, the value of the distance measure \(d(A)\) can be easily calculated by error function \(\text{erf}[x]\) \cite{12}, we have

\[d(A) = \text{erf}\left[\frac{x_i - \mu}{\sqrt{2}\sigma}\right].\] (11)

The value of the distance measure \(d(A)\) can express the deviation degree between the sample data \(x_i\) and the normal distribution described model of attribute category \(A\). So we can easily conclude that the more is the value of the distance measure, the smaller is the probability which \(x_i\) belongs to category \(A\). Furthermore, we define \(p(A) = 1 - d(A)\) to express the probability which \(x_i\) belong to category \(A\).

First, we apply Eq. (11) to calculate the value of the distance measure between the SL attribute of NI and the normal distribution described model of SL attribute of each species, shown as follows.

\[d(\text{Setosa},S) = 0.0825\]
\[d(\text{Versicolor},C) = 0.9464\]
\[d(\text{Virginica},V) = 0.9823\]

Accordingly, the probability \(p\) can be calculated as follows.

\[p(S) = 1 - d(S) = 0.9175\]
\[p(C) = 1 - d(C) = 0.0536\]
\[p(V) = 1 - d(V) = 0.0177\]

We define \(p(S, C, V) = 1 - \max\{p(S), p(C), p(V)\}\)
\[= 1 - 0.9175 = 0.0825\]

Finally, normalized the obtained probability \(p\), the BPA can be obtained as follows.

| TABLE II. The BPA of an instance attribute SL | \(m(S)\) | \(m(C)\) | \(m(V)\) | \(m(S,V,C)\) |
| SL | 0.8565 | 0.0500 | 0.0165 | 0.0770 |

In the same way, the BPA of an instance attribute SW, PL, PW can be calculated, shown in Table III.

| TABLE III. The BPA of an instance | \(m(S)\) | \(m(C)\) | \(m(V)\) | \(m(S,V,C)\) |
| SL | 0.8565 | 0.0500 | 0.0165 | 0.0770 |
| SW | 0.7859 | 0.0269 | 0.0953 | 0.0919 |
| PL | 0.3527 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.6473 |
| PW | 0.5051 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.4949 |

Using the combination rule in Eq. (5), the fusion results can be shown as follows.
the BPA function.

Hence, the instance can be classed as Setosa. The result is consistent with the actual situation.

The algorithm of our proposed method can be listed step by step as follows.

Step 1: Use the existing sample data to build the normal distribution, which describe the model attributes of instances.

Step 2: Calculate the distance measure between the collected attribute of the sample data and the model attribute.

Step 3: Calculate the probability which the sample data belongs to the model category.

Step 4: Normalized the probability measure to obtain the BPA function.

IV. EXPERIMENTS

We randomly select 120 instances, each 40 instances for 3 species, to construct species model. The remaining 30 instances, each 10 instances for 3 species is used as collected instances whose class is not known. By applying the method proposed in above section to classify the 30 instances, the results is showed in Table IV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The number of experiments</th>
<th>The number of Right results</th>
<th>The number of Wrong results</th>
<th>Correct rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>93.33%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results show that the BPA are generated by the proposed method, the correct rate of Iris data classification is up to 93.33%. It can been seen that our proposed method has good results in classification problem.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In real data fusion application systems based on DS theory, how to determine basic probability assignment is still an open issue. In this paper, a new method to obtain BPA is proposed based on the distance measure between the sample data under test and the model of attribute of species. The proposed method, which effectively overcome the problem of subjectivity, have strong Generality. A numerical example is used to illustrate the efficiency and the low computational complexity of the proposed method.
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