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Abstract—This paper presents a new method for measuring of three-phase reactive power (RP) in three-phase systems. Extraction of three-phase reactive power RP from entire instantaneous power signal is achieved by multiplication the phase instantaneous powers with the Walsh function (WF). This method simplifies the multiplication procedure required for the evaluation the tree-phase reactive power components due to the use of the peculiar properties of the WF. In contrast to the existing methods involving phase shift operation between the input voltage and current signals proposed measurement approach does not require the phase shift of the phase current signals to the π/2 with respect to the voltage signals. Limitations and proposals for future performance enhancements of the suggested method are also discussed. Validity and effectiveness of the suggested method have been tested by use of a simulation tools developed on the base of “Matlab 6.5”. The results obtained demonstrate that the computational demands can be substantially reduced by using the proposed method.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Strong demands to the electrical energy savings in the three-phase transmission and distributions systems require the efficient methods and instruments for accurate evaluation of RP drawn by the industrial loads. The RP influences directly to the power factor and as a result overloads the transmission lines between the electrical energy sources and energy users and plays a vital role in the stable operation of power systems [1]. The fundamental positive-sequence reactive power is of utmost importance in power systems because it governs the fundamental voltage magnitude and its distribution along the feeders, and affects electromechanical stability as well as the energy loss [2].

Electric power distribution systems are characterized by unbalanced operation and these characteristics impose serious challenges for the development of efficient computational power flow techniques [3]. When system is unbalance the three current phasors do not have equal magnitudes, nor are they shifted exactly with respect to each other [2]. Load unbalance leads to asymmetrical currents that in turn can cause voltage asymmetry. There are situations when the three voltage phasors are not symmetrical. This leads to asymmetrical currents even when the load is perfectly balanced [2]. Moreover, in unbalanced power systems the RP may be caused by the unbalances [4] and the RP can be inductive or capacitive, and so can be added or can compensate the traditional reactive power due to the reactances. When there are unbalances at sources and at loads in the same time, the RP can have values different from zero even in resistive systems, and generally when there is not any symmetry in the system [4].

Analysis of the known scientific research works confirmed that the various methods have been developed for three-phase RP measurement in both the sinusoidal and noise (in presence of harmonic distortion) conditions. Most of the known research works are based on the method of averaging the value of the product of the current samples and the voltage samples with shifting to the quarter one of the samples (current or voltage) relatively to another.

Reference [5] demonstrates an extension of the wavelet transform to the measurement of RP component through the use of a broad-band quadrature phase-shift networks. This wavelet-based power metering system requires the phase shift of the input voltage signal. In [6] the application of new frequency insensitive quadrature phase shifting method for reactive power measurements has been verified by using a time-division multiplier type wattmeter. The phase shift operation requires the corresponding hardware which may result in the additional measurement error [6]. An electronic shifter based on stochastic signal processing for simple and cost-effective digital implementation of a reactive power and energy meter was developed in [7]. A new application of the least error squares estimation algorithm for identifying the reactive power from available samples of voltage and current waveforms in the time domain for sinusoidal and non sinusoidal signals is proposed in [8]. In [9] different RP measurement methods are described. The common drawback of the described methods is related to the necessity of measuring of the RMS values of the voltage and current. The 2-Dimensional digital FIR filtering based algorithms for measuring of the RP are proposed in [10]. The development of a method using artificial neural networks to evaluate the instantaneous reactive power is described in [11]. In this method the back-propagation neural network is used to approximate the reactive...
power evaluation function. In [12] the digital infinite impulse response filters are used to measure the reactive power. Although proposed algorithm allows to evaluate the harmonic components of the RP, the suggested method is still complex because of the performing of the filtering procedures.

The Fourier transform (FT) based digital or analogue filtering algorithms allow the evaluation of RP without shifting operation, but a large number of multiplication and addition operations are required when applying FT algorithms for RP evaluation. For example, for a 16 point DFT $16^2 = 256$ complex multiplications and $16 \times 15 = 240$ complex addition operations are required [13]. The various algorithms (for example FFT known as the Cooley Tukay algorithm) have been developed to reduce the number of multiplication and addition operations by use of the computational redundancy inherent in the DFT. Unfortunately, FT based algorithms are still computationally complex.

For unbalanced systems, the symmetrical components can be used and the analysis can be done separately for the zero-sequence, positive-sequence, and negative-sequence networks[14], [15]. References [2], [16] suggest the positive, negative and zero sequence components evaluation based algorithm for measuring RP in three-phase circuits. Main drawback of this algorithm is related to the complex operations required for evaluation of voltage and current symmetrical components.

In [17] the authors have analyzed WT algorithms employed to energy measurement process and they have shown that the Walsh method represents its intrinsic high-level accuracy due to coefficient characteristics in energy staircase representation. Reference [18] states that decimation algorithm based on fast WT (FWT) has better performance due to the elimination of multiplication operation and low or comparable hardware complexity because of the FWT transform kernel.

In [10] the WF based existing RP measurement algorithm is cited. The basic idea of this WF based algorithm consists in the resolving of the voltage and current signals separately along the WFs, at first, and then obtaining the RP as the difference of the products of the quadrature components. At least four multiplication-integration, two multiplication, and one summation operations required for RP evaluation makes this algorithm comparatively complex and less convenient for implementation.

In previous research works [19]-[23] the WF based RP measurement method and some aspects of its realization [19], a modified WF based method for the measurement of reactive power in sinusoidal as well as in noise conditions with the immunity to the distortion power [20], a new algorithm for evaluation the reactive components from instantaneous power signal and its realization on the electronic elements [21], the effect of the harmonics on the WF based RP measurement algorithm and problems related to the estimation and correction of the error introduced by harmonics [22], the signal processing based frequency insensitive RP measurement algorithms involving peculiar properties of the WF[23] have been investigated and proposed.

All of these methods and algorithms can be applied to both the single-phase and the balanced three-phase systems.

Measurement of RP in unbalanced tree-phase systems has own specific peculiarities. In unbalanced power systems the RP may be caused by the unbalances only and the RP can be inductive or capacitive, and so can be added or can compensate the traditional reactive power due to the reactances. Moreover, the RP can have values different from zero even in resistive systems, when there are unbalances at sources and at loads in the same time, and generally when there is not any symmetry in the system [4]. That is why, the investigations and development of the RP measurement methods and algorithms applicable to the unbalanced three-phase systems are of the important scientific problems.

The main contribution of this article is the development of the algorithms for evaluating the RP from instantaneous power signal in balanced and unbalanced three-phase systems using WFs, thereby, avoiding the phase shift operation of $\pi/2$ between the voltage and the current waveforms in respective phases of three-phase system.

The attraction of WF based approach to RP evaluation in three-phase systems comes from the key advantages such as following[23]:

- a multiplication operation between two digital data is replaced with the multiplication operation between digital data and positive or negative unit(+1 or -1). In other words, the multiplication operation is performed by simple altering the sign of the given digital data from positive to the negative sign so that to be multiplied by -1. Thus, the WT analyzes signals into rectangular waveforms rather than sinusoidal ones and is computed more rapidly than, for example, FFT [13]. WT based algorithm contains additions and subtractions only and as a result considerably simplifies the hardware implementation of RP evaluation;
- a requirement of IEEE/IEC definition of a phase shift of $\pi/2$ between the voltage and the current signals, typical for reactive power evaluation[6] is eliminated from signal processing operation.

The paper is organized as follows. In section two a derivation of the WF based analogue signal processing algorithms for measurement of RP in three-phase systems is described. The simulation results and discussions are given in the section three. Section four includes the conclusion of the paper.

II. SIGNAL PROCESSING ALGORITHMS FOR THREE-PHASE RP EVALUATION

In this section we analyze the RP algorithms for the measuring the RP in three-phase power system.
A. Measurement algorithm for a phase reactive power

To obtain the algorithm for measuring the reactive power in phase \(a\), we multiply both sides of Eq. A9 (See Appendix) by the third order WF \([23]\),

\[
\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \text{Wal}(3,t) p_a dt = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \text{Wal}(3,t) P_a dt
\]

This Eq. can be rewritten as

\[
\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \text{Wal}(3,t) p_a dt = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \text{Wal}(3,t) P_a dt
\]

Since \([23]\),

\[
\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \text{Wal}(3,t) P_a dt = 0, \quad \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \text{Wal}(3,t) P_a \cos 2\alpha dt = 0
\]

and the (2) is rewritten as (See [23] for details)

\[
\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \text{Wal}(3,t) p_a dt = -\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \text{Wal}(3,t) Q_a \sin 2\alpha dt
\]

or [23],

\[
\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \text{Wal}(3,t) p_a dt = -\frac{2}{\pi} Q_a
\]

Solution of this equation for the \(a\) phase fundamental reactive power \(Q_a\) results in

\[
Q_a = -\frac{\pi}{2} \int_0^T \text{Wal}(3,t) p_a dt
\]

B. Measurement algorithm for b phase reactive power

In case of phase \(b\) we multiply both sides of Eq.(A14) (See Appendix) by the third order WF and integrate over the period \(T\):

\[
\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \text{Wal}(3,t) p_b dt = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \text{Wal}(3,t) P_b dt
\]

\[
-\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \text{Wal}(3,t) [P_b \cos 240^\circ - Q_b \sin 240^\circ] \cos(2\alpha) dt
\]

\[
-\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \text{Wal}(3,t) [Q_b \cos 240^\circ + P_b \sin 240^\circ] \sin(2\alpha) dt
\]

Similar to the (3), we write (See [23] for details)

\[
\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \text{Wal}(3,t) P_b dt = 0
\]

and

\[
\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \text{Wal}(3,t) [P_b \cos 240^\circ - Q_b \sin 240^\circ] \cos(2\alpha) dt = 0
\]

Considering these in (7) we have

\[
\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \text{Wal}(3,t) P_b dt = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \text{Wal}(3,t) [Q_b \cos 240^\circ + P_b \sin 240^\circ] \sin(2\alpha) dt
\]

Considering the solution of the right side integral (See [23] for details) of this Eq. we have

\[
\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \text{Wal}(3,t) P_b dt = -\frac{2}{\pi} [Q_b \cos 240^\circ + P_b \sin 240^\circ]
\]

Solution of this equation for the \(b\) phase RP, \(Q_b\) results in

\[
Q_b = -\frac{\pi}{T} \int_0^T \text{Wal}(3,t) p_b dt - \sqrt{5} P_b
\]

C. Measurement algorithm for c phase reactive power

For the phase \(c\) we multiply both sides of Eq.(A19) (See Appendix) by the third order WF and integrate over the period \(T\):

\[
\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \text{Wal}(3,t) p_c dt = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \text{Wal}(3,t) P_c dt
\]

\[
-\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \text{Wal}(3,t) [P_c \cos 240^\circ + Q_c \sin 240^\circ] \cos(2\alpha) dt
\]

\[
-\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \text{Wal}(3,t) [Q_c \cos 240^\circ - P_c \sin 240^\circ] \sin(2\alpha) dt
\]

Similar to the Eq.(3), we write

\[
\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \text{Wal}(3,t) P_c dt = 0
\]

and

\[
\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \text{Wal}(3,t) [P_c \cos 240^\circ + Q_c \sin 240^\circ] \cos(2\alpha) dt = 0
\]

Considering these, the (10) is rewritten as follows
Considering the solution of the right side integral of this Eq. we have
\[ \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \text{Wal}(t)P_{c} \, dt = -\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \text{Wal}(3, t)[Q_{c} \cos 240^\circ - P_{c} \sin 240^\circ] \sin(2\omega t) \, dt \]  \hspace{1cm} (11).

Solution of (12) for the \( c \) phase RP, \( Q_c \) results in
\[ \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \text{Wal}(3, t)P_{c} \, dt = -\frac{2}{T} [Q_{c} \cos 240^\circ - P_{c} \sin 240^\circ] \]  \hspace{1cm} (12).

As seen from the right hand sides of the (9) and (13), evaluation of the \( b \) and \( c \) phase reactive powers \( Q_b \) and \( Q_c \), respectively, requires the \( b \) and \( c \) phase active powers \( P_b \) and \( P_c \), respectively.

To find the relationships between the active powers of \( P_b \) and \( P_c \), and the respective instantaneous powers of \( p_b \) and \( p_c \), we multiply both sides of each of the (A14) and (A19) (See Appendix) by the zero-order WF, \( Wal(0, t) \), and integrate over the period of \( T \):
\[ \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \text{Wal}(0, t)P_{b} \, dt = \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \text{Wal}(0, t)P_{c} \, dt \]  \hspace{1cm} (14).

Substituting the (18) and (19) into the (9) and (13), respectively, we get the final expressions for evaluating \( b \) and \( c \) phase reactive powers \( Q_b \) and \( Q_c \), as
\[ Q_b = \frac{\pi}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \text{Wal}(3, t)P_{b} \, dt - \sqrt{3} \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \text{Wal}(0, t)P_{b} \, dt \]  \hspace{1cm} (20).
\[ Q_c = \frac{\pi}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \text{Wal}(3, t)P_{c} \, dt + \sqrt{3} \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \text{Wal}(0, t)P_{c} \, dt \]  \hspace{1cm} (21).

Taking into account the properties of zero-order WF mentioned above, we get from (16) and (17) the relationships between the active powers of \( P_b \) and \( P_c \), and the respective instantaneous powers of \( p_b \) and \( p_c \), respectively:
\[ P_b = \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \text{Wal}(0, t)P_{b} \, dt \]  \hspace{1cm} (18).
\[ P_c = \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \text{Wal}(0, t)P_{c} \, dt \]  \hspace{1cm} (19).

Taking into account the properties of zero-order WF mentioned above, we get from (16) and (17) the relationships between the active powers of \( P_b \) and \( P_c \), and the respective instantaneous powers of \( p_b \) and \( p_c \), respectively:
\[ P_b = \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \text{Wal}(0, t)P_{b} \, dt \]  \hspace{1cm} (18).
\[ P_c = \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \text{Wal}(0, t)P_{c} \, dt \]  \hspace{1cm} (19).

III. SIMULATION RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

A simulation circuit of the three phase reactive power measurement instrument, which is based on the proposed algorithms given by (6), (20), and (21), has been built (Fig.1). Simulation tests were aimed at verifying (i) the practicability and validity of the proposed algorithms, (ii) an effect of the load unbalance on the accuracy of the RP measurement results, and (iii) the good performance characteristics of the measurement instrument in wide range variation of the unbalanced load. Simulation experiments have been performed by use of the tools of the “Matlab 6.5”.

During simulation the line-to-neutral voltages were taken as follows
\[ V_a = 220.0^\circ \text{V}, \quad V_b = 220.0^\circ - 120.0^\circ \text{V}, \quad \text{and} \quad V_c = 220.0^\circ 120.0^\circ \text{V}. \]

The simulation results are represented on the Tables I, II, and III. The true values of three-phase RP for the different loads have been calculated by use of classical algorithms [2], [3]. Comparison the measurement results obtained by use of the proposed method with the true values shows that the relative error introduced by the proposed method is less than 0.09%.
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Figure 1. The simulation structure for the realization of the active and reactive power measurement algorithms.

### Table I: Simulation Results of RP Evaluation Algorithms (Unbalanced Load Parameters: $Z_a = 20 + j20\Omega; Z_b = 50 + j15\Omega; Z_c = 15 + j6\Omega$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Classical formula</th>
<th>Proposed method</th>
<th>Error, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Active Power</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase A</td>
<td>1044.25</td>
<td>1044</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase B</td>
<td>1113.10</td>
<td>1113</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase C</td>
<td>1984.15</td>
<td>1984</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>4141.50</td>
<td>4141</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reactive Power</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase A</td>
<td>1144.33</td>
<td>1144</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase B</td>
<td>98.777</td>
<td>97.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase C</td>
<td>681.177</td>
<td>682.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>1924.28</td>
<td>1924.74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table II: Simulation Results of RP Evaluation Algorithms (Unbalanced Load Parameters: $Z_a = 1520 + j5\Omega; Z_b = 6012 + j60\Omega; Z_c = 3018 + j30\Omega$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>By classical formula</th>
<th>By suggested method</th>
<th>Error, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Active Power</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase A</td>
<td>892.8</td>
<td>892.1</td>
<td>0.067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase B</td>
<td>233.85</td>
<td>233.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase C</td>
<td>955.34</td>
<td>935.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>2062.19</td>
<td>2060.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reactive Power</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase A</td>
<td>1495.74</td>
<td>1495</td>
<td>0.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase B</td>
<td>1065.95</td>
<td>1065</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase C</td>
<td>710.173</td>
<td>710.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>3271.86</td>
<td>3270.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table III: Simulation Results of RP Evaluation Algorithms (Unbalanced Load Parameters: $Z_a = 4025 + j40\Omega; Z_b = 5020 + j50\Omega; Z_c = 3014 + j30\Omega$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>By classical formula</th>
<th>By suggested method</th>
<th>Error, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Active Power</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase A</td>
<td>408.83</td>
<td>408.4</td>
<td>0.082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase B</td>
<td>499.67</td>
<td>499.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase C</td>
<td>560.21</td>
<td>559.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>1468.71</td>
<td>1467.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reactive Power</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase A</td>
<td>1022.794</td>
<td>1022</td>
<td>0.076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase B</td>
<td>900.446</td>
<td>899.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase C</td>
<td>1040.297</td>
<td>1040</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>2963.54</td>
<td>2961.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
IV. CONCLUSIONS

A variety of methods to measure the RP in the three-phase systems were developed. In presented method the requirement of IEEE/IEC definition of a phase shift of \( \pi/2 \) between the voltage and the current signals, typical for reactive power evaluation, is eliminated from signal processing operation. Three phase RP is evaluated without the phase-shift operation to achieve increased efficiency of computational operations and hardware implementation;

The multiplication of the sample values of the instantaneous power signal by corresponding order WF is performed simply, by alteration of sign of the signal samples from +1 to -1 only during even quarters of the input signal periods;

Proposed algorithms allow the measurement of three phase power in balanced as well as in unbalanced three phase systems.

The author is currently working towards the estimation and correction of the highest order harmonic distortions influence on the proposed three phase RP evaluation algorithms.

APPENDIX . INSTANTANEOUS POWER IN THREE-PHASE UNBALANCED SYSTEMS

In three-phase unbalanced systems the three currents \( I_a \), \( I_b \), and \( I_c \), in respective phases do not have equal magnitudes, nor are they shifted exactly with respect to each other [2].

The instantaneous line-to-neutral voltages \( v_a \), \( v_b \), and \( v_c \) in the respective phases are as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
v_a &= \sqrt{2}V_a \sin(\alpha t) \\
v_b &= \sqrt{2}V_b \sin(\alpha t - 120^\circ) \\
v_c &= \sqrt{2}V_c \sin(\alpha t + 120^\circ). 
\end{align*}
\]

where \( V_a \), \( V_b \), and \( V_c \) are the rms values of the line to neutral voltages for the phases \( a \), \( b \), and \( c \), respectively, \( \alpha = 2\pi f \) is the angular frequency in rad/s, \( f \) is the frequency in Hz.

The line currents \( i_a \), \( i_b \), and \( i_c \), in the phases \( a \), \( b \), and \( c \), respectively, are as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
i_a &= \sqrt{2}I_a \sin(\alpha t - \theta_a) \\
i_b &= \sqrt{2}I_b \sin(\alpha t - \theta_b - 120^\circ) \\
i_c &= \sqrt{2}I_c \sin(\alpha t - \theta_c + 120^\circ).
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \theta_a \), \( \theta_b \), and \( \theta_c \) are the impedance angles in the phase \( a \), \( b \), and \( c \), respectively.

The three-phase instantaneous power \( p_t \) is given by [2]:

\[
p_t = v_a i_a + v_b i_b + v_c i_c
\]

or

\[
p_t = p_a + p_b + p_c,
\]

where \( p_a \), \( p_b \), \( p_c \) are the respective phase instantaneous powers.

A. Instantaneous power in phase \( a \)

Considering (A1) and (A2) the \( a \) phase instantaneous power \( p_a \) is expressed as

\[
p_a = v_a i_a = \sqrt{2}V_a \sin(\alpha t) \sqrt{2}I_a \sin(\alpha t - \theta_a) = 2V_a I_a \sin(\alpha t) \sin(\alpha t - \theta_a) \]  

(A5)

where \( I_a \), \( I_b \), and \( I_c \) are the are the rms values of the line currents in the phases \( a \), \( b \), and \( c \), respectively.

Applying the trigonometric identity of \( 2 \sin \alpha \sin \beta = \cos(\alpha - \beta) - \cos(\alpha + \beta) \) to the (A5) we have

\[
p_a = V_a I_a \cos \theta - V_a I_a \cos(2\alpha - \theta_a) \]  

(A7)

Since

\[
\cos(2\alpha - \theta_a) = \cos(2\alpha \cos \theta_a + \sin(2\alpha \sin \theta_a) \]  

(A8)

the (A7) is deduced to

\[
p_a = V_a I_a \cos \theta - [V_a \cos \theta \cos(2\alpha \sin \theta_a) + V_a \sin \theta_a \sin(2\alpha \sin \theta_a)]
\]

This Eq. can be rewritten as

\[
p_a = P_a - [Q_a \cos(2\alpha \sin \theta_a) \]  

(A9)

where \( P_a = V_a I_a \cos \theta_a \) is the average or active, and \( Q_a = V_a I_a \sin \theta_a \) the fundamental reactive power, respectively, in the phase \( a \).

B. Instantaneous power in phase \( b \)

An instantaneous power in phase \( b \) is expressed by using (A1) and (A2), as follows

\[
p_b = v_b i_b = \sqrt{2}V_b \sin(\alpha t - 120^\circ) \sqrt{2}I_b \sin(\alpha t - 120^\circ - \theta_b) = 2V_b I_b \sin(\alpha t - 120^\circ) \sin(\alpha t - 120^\circ - \theta_b) \]  

(A10)

Considering the trigonometric identity of \( 2 \sin \alpha \cos \beta = \cos(\alpha - \beta) - \cos(\alpha + \beta) \) in (A10) we have

\[
p_b = V_b I_b \cos \theta_b - [V_b I_b \cos(2\alpha - 240^\circ - \theta_b)] = V_b I_b \cos \theta_b - V_b I_b \cos(2\alpha - 240^\circ - \theta_b) \]  

(A11)

Since

\[
\cos(2\alpha - 240^\circ - \theta_b) = \cos(2\alpha - 240^\circ) \cos \theta_b + \sin(2\alpha - 240^\circ) \sin \theta_b,
\]

the (A11) is deduced to
$p_b = p_b - [P_b \cos(2a\theta - 240\degree) + Q_b \sin(2a\theta - 240\degree)]$  \hspace{1cm} (A12)

where $P_b = V_b I_b \cos \theta_b$ is the average or active, and $Q_b = V_b I_b \sin \theta_b$ the fundamental reactive power, respectively, in the phase $b$.

Since

$\cos(2a\theta - 240\degree) = \cos(2a\theta) \cos 240\degree + \sin 2a\theta \sin 240\degree$,

$\sin(2a\theta - 240\degree) = \sin(2a\theta) \cos 240\degree - \cos 2a\theta \sin 240\degree$,

The (A12) is rewritten as

$p_b = P_b - [P_b \cos(2a\theta) \cos 240\degree + P_b \sin(2a\theta) \sin 240\degree] - [Q_b \cos 240\degree - P_b \sin(2a\theta) \sin 240\degree]$  \hspace{1cm} (A13)

Grouping the right hand side terms of (13) by $\cos(2a\theta)$ and $\sin(2a\theta)$ we obtain required expression for the $b$ phase instantaneous power as

$p_b = P_b - [P_b \cos(2a\theta) \cos 240\degree - Q_b \sin 240\degree] \cos(2a\theta)$

$-[Q_b \cos 240\degree - P_b \sin(2a\theta) \sin 240\degree] \sin(2a\theta)$  \hspace{1cm} (A14)

C. Instantaneous power in phase $c$

An expression for $c$ phase instantaneous power is derived in a similar fashion to the $b$ phase power. Using (A1) and (A2) an instantaneous power in phase $c$ is expressed as

$p_c = v_c i_c$

$= \sqrt{2} V_c \sin(a\theta + 120\degree) \sqrt{2} I_c \sin(a\theta + 120\degree) \cos(\theta_c)$

$= 2V_c I_c \sin(a\theta + 120\degree) \cos(\theta_c)$  \hspace{1cm} (A15)

Application the trigonometric identity of (A6) to (A15) results in

$p_c = V_I I_c \cos(\theta_c - \theta)$  \hspace{1cm} (A16)

Since

$\cos(2a\theta + 240\degree - \theta) = \cos(2a\theta + 240\degree) \cos \theta + \sin(2a\theta + 240\degree) \sin \theta$,

the (A16) is deduced to

$p_c = P_c - [P_c \cos(2a\theta + 240\degree) + Q_c \sin(2a\theta + 240\degree)]$  \hspace{1cm} (A17)

where $P_c = V_I I_c \cos \theta_c$ is the average or active, and $Q_c = V_I I_c \sin \theta_c$ the fundamental reactive power, respectively, in the phase $c$.

Since

$\cos(2a\theta + 240\degree) = \cos(2a\theta) \cos 240\degree - \sin 2a\theta \sin 240\degree$,

$\sin(2a\theta + 240\degree) = \sin(2a\theta) \cos 240\degree + \cos 2a\theta \sin 240\degree$,

the (A17) is rewritten as follows

$p_c = P_c - [P_c \cos(2a\theta) \cos 240\degree - P_c \sin(2a\theta) \sin 240\degree] - [Q_c \sin(2a\theta) \cos 240\degree + Q_c \cos(2a\theta) \sin 240\degree]$  \hspace{1cm} (A18)

Grouping the right hand side terms of (13) by $\cos(2a\theta)$ and $\sin(2a\theta)$ we obtain required expression for the $c$ phase instantaneous power as

$p_c = P_c - [P_c \cos(2a\theta) \cos 240\degree + Q_c \sin 240\degree \cos(2a\theta)]$

$- [Q_c \cos 240\degree - P_c \sin(2a\theta) \sin 240\degree \sin(2a\theta)]$  \hspace{1cm} (A19)

The derived equations (A9), (A14), and (A19) express the instantaneous power in the respective phases of three-phase unbalanced system.
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